

UNDERSTANDING RESEARCH DESIGNS

EVALUATION FORM

Presenters	A				Section	
	B				Date	
Presentation Assignment indicate title of research paper for critical analysis				Type	<input type="checkbox"/> Oral Report of a Research Design <input type="checkbox"/> Critical Analysis of a Research Paper	
CRITERIA	DESCRIPTORS				A	B
	Exceeds expectations (5 points)	Doing fairly well (4 points)	Barely hitting the mark (3 points)	Needs improvement (2 points)		
Content of the Discussion	The scope of the report includes and explains in detail all key components required.	The scope of the report lacks (or fails to explain in detail) one key component required.	The scope of the report lacks (or fails to explain in detail) two key components required.	The scope of the report lacks (or fails to explain in detail) three key components required.		
Clarity of Explanations¹	The reporter is consistently able to provide a clear and concise discussion of the ideas raised.	Although ideas are clear, the reporter is not able to express them directly to the point.	The reporter struggles to explain key points in the discussion.	The reporter did not make an effort to explain key points in the discussion.		
Comprehension¹	The reporter is able to accurately answer almost all questions posed about the topic.	The reporter is able to accurately answer most of the questions posed about the topic.	The reporter is able to accurately answer a few questions posed about the topic.	The reporter is not able to accurately answer any of the questions posed about the topic.		
Preparedness	Reporters are completely prepared and has obviously planned for the discussion.	Reporters seem to have prepared but struggles transitioning from one part of the discussion to the next.	There are instances in the discussion when the reporters manifested uncertainty.	The report was unorganized.		
Time Management	Reporters are able to maximize the time given to them (within 14-16 minutes of discussion)	The report took more than the allotted time to finish.	The report was finished in less than 10 minutes.			
					TOTAL INDIVIDUAL SCORE	

¹Reporters to be evaluated individually.

UNDERSTANDING RESEARCH DESIGNS

EVALUATION FORM

Presenters	A				Section	
	B				Date	
Presentation Assignment indicate title of research paper for critical analysis				Type	<input type="checkbox"/> Oral Report of a Research Design <input type="checkbox"/> Critical Analysis of a Research Paper	
CRITERIA	DESCRIPTORS				A	B
	Exceeds expectations (5 points)	Doing fairly well (4 points)	Barely hitting the mark (3 points)	Needs improvement (2 points)		
Content of the Discussion	The scope of the report includes and explains in detail all key components required.	The scope of the report lacks (or fails to explain in detail) one key component required.	The scope of the report lacks (or fails to explain in detail) two key components required.	The scope of the report lacks (or fails to explain in detail) three key components required.		
Clarity of Explanations¹	The reporter is consistently able to provide a clear and concise discussion of the ideas raised.	Although ideas are clear, the reporter is not able to express them directly to the point.	The reporter struggles to explain key points in the discussion.	The reporter did not make an effort to explain key points in the discussion.		
Comprehension¹	The reporter is able to accurately answer almost all questions posed about the topic.	The reporter is able to accurately answer most of the questions posed about the topic.	The reporter is able to accurately answer a few questions posed about the topic.	The reporter is not able to accurately answer any of the questions posed about the topic.		
Preparedness	Reporters are completely prepared and has obviously planned for the discussion.	Reporters seem to have prepared but struggles transitioning from one part of the discussion to the next.	There are instances in the discussion when the reporters manifested uncertainty.	The report was unorganized.		
Time Management	Reporters are able to maximize the time given to them (within 14-16 minutes of discussion)	The report took more than the allotted time to finish.	The report was finished in less than 10 minutes.			
					TOTAL INDIVIDUAL SCORE	

¹Reporters to be evaluated individually.

